



Prolife Profiles




National Right To Life

**Wanda Franz, President
Tier 3: Personhood Later**

National RTL's staunch opposition to all efforts to advocate for the unborn child's right to life, and its defense of politicians who ignore abortion when nominating judges, and its repeated opposition of actual pro-life candidates, even in primaries, and its endorsement of child killing regulations and of various flat-out pro-abortion politicians make it necessary for people of good will to re-evaluate NRTL's strategy.

- **Dred Scott Shepardized Exposes Flawed NRTL Strategy:** National RTL has staked its strategy for victory on getting the U.S. Supreme Court to show humility by reversing its own illegitimate ruling that has led to the killing to 50 million children. 150 years of legal history shows that judicial pride will render that strategy a failure. Since their 1857 pro-slavery decision claiming that black men could be owned as property, the Supreme Court has mentioned *Dred Scott* 56 times, and what they have said should inform pro-life strategy. American RTL commissioned a Shepardization¹ of the *Dred Scott* opinion analyzing the court's subsequent references to that case. Both *Roe v. Wade* and *Dred Scott* falsely present living human beings as property, and not as persons with full human rights. The Supreme Court has been mostly neutral or even positive in its references to its own illegitimate *Dred Scott* decision. Even if National RTL survived for 150 years, institutional pride will prevent the court from admitting and reversing its own crimes against humanity.
- **NRTL Is Offered \$10,000 to Name One Pro-Life Justice:** See this offer also at Yahoo News, Denver Post, Covenant News, Houston Chronicle, CNBC, etc. To demonstrate the failure of National RTL's legal strategy, American RTL is offering them \$10,000² if their president Wanda Franz can name a single Justice sitting on the U.S. Supreme Court who has ever acknowledged, even in a dissenting opinion, that the unborn child has a right to life." But isn't Antonin Scalia pro-life? Regarding a law that would recognize the baby's God-given right to life, Scalia, the Justice most often claimed as pro-life, has said, "I will... strike down a law that is the *opposite* of *Roe v. Wade*... One wants no state to be able to prohibit abortion and the other one wants every state to have to prohibit abortion, and they're *both wrong*..."³ In 2004 Scalia claimed, "Take the abortion issue... there's something to be said for both sides."⁴ And on April 9, 2008 Scalia said, "You want the right to abortion? Create it the way most rights are created in a democracy. Persuade your fellow citizens it's a good idea - and pass a law."
- **NRTL's Massive Conflict of Interest:** Typically, lobbying groups attempt to influence public policy by giving money to political parties. Following the money, when researchers at ProlifeProfiles saw certain GOP/NRTL transactions⁵, they knew they had one of the motives behind the pro-life sell-out to partisan politics. In a reversal of roles, NRTL seeks funds from the RNC⁶ and the Republican National Committee has given money to the Nat'l RTL Committee. In one documented case during the presidency of Wanda Franz, the RNC gave \$650,000 to NRTL⁷. (While RNC spokesman and former Rocky Mountain News editor Clifford May claimed that these funds did not indicate any partisan influence over NRTL, the American Defense Institute returned to the RNC a similar "controversial" donation.) Bloated salaries⁸, excessive payments to NRTL attorneys⁹, and well-funded retirement programs help to explain why NRTL promotes tactics that translate well into long-term fundraisers. NRTL's PBA ban fiasco¹⁰, for example, was a cash cow that raised a quarter of a billion dollars¹¹ for the pro-life industry. In addition, as revealed by an admittedly partisan U.S. Senate investigation, an RNC Director and a Finance Director tried to shield the identities of political donors by collecting funds payable to NRTL and giving at least an additional \$100,000¹² from such efforts to this organization that has betrayed it's own namesake by inexplicably *supporting* many outright "pro-choice" politicians and at the same time *opposing* all efforts to legally enforce the child's "*right to life*."



- **National RTL Hides Republican Complicity:** *Roe v. Wade* is a Republican ruling. In 1973 the court had six Republican Justices. The author of *Roe v. Wade* was a Republican Justice, Harry Blackmun,¹³ and of the six Republican justices then on the court, five of them voted with the majority for *Roe*.¹⁴ By the end of George W. Bush's second term, sixty percent of the federal judges had been nominated by Republican presidents.¹⁵ Yet all those Republican judges helped make up the overwhelmingly pro-abortion attitude of the federal judiciary. And still, the U.S. Supreme Court, with seven of its nine Justices appointed by Republican presidents, continued to illegitimately promote abortion nationwide.¹⁶ And Barack Obama's pro-abortion nominee, Sonia Sotomayor, is of course the fruit of President George H. W. Bush's presidency, as he is the one who nominated her to the federal bench.¹⁷ Before *Roe v. Wade*, 19 states had decriminalized abortion to varying degrees, and in 1967 the Republican governors in Colorado and California signed abortion laws that opened the floodgates of child killing.¹⁸ ¹⁹ Not only is NRTL's strategy a failure, it is an insult to millions of Republicans who would rather hear the hard truth, and deal with it, than be lied to while their party slides further into godless liberalism.
- **NRTL Doesn't Need "One More" Justice:** They need five. To get a pro-life majority on the U.S. Supreme Court we need, not one, but five more Justices. More than thirty years of NRTL's influence leaves America *without a single pro-life Justice*, suggesting a *timeframe of centuries* before NRTL's strategy might succeed, if ever. When NRTL opposes Personhood efforts saying that, "This is not the right time," because of the make-up of the court, they are effectively admitting their 30 years of failure. After NRTL's decades of "leadership," Notre Dame Law School's professor emeritus Charles Rice wrote, "*Every justice now on the court accepts the Roe holding that the unborn child is a non-person... The situation remains as described by Justice John Paul Stevens in Planned Parenthood v. Casey.*" For Stevens had written that "the Court... rejected, the argument 'that the fetus is a "person"... there was no dissent..." And Clarence Thomas wrote in his *Stenberg* dissent that "a State may permit abortion," and Antonin Scalia wrote in *Casey*, "The states may, if they wish, permit abortion-on-demand..."
- **40 Years Out from Roe & Dred:** It's been nearly four decades since *Roe*, and throughout the forty years after *Dred Scott*, even *after slavery had been banned not by the court's humility, but by amendment* in 1865, the court's lack of humility was summed up in an 1896 case that referenced their pro-slavery ruling, in *Plessy v. Ferguson*, when it *upheld* a Louisiana law that required Plessy, who had 1/8 *colored blood*, to sit in the *black section* of a train. Likewise, forty years after *Roe*, not a single Justice, including all those nominated with NRTL's support, has ever acknowledged, in a majority opinion or even a dissent, the unborn child's right to life.
- **100 Years Out – Skinner v. Oklahoma:** *Skinner v. Oklahoma* summed up the U.S. Supreme Court's lack of repentance through the first half of the 20th century. The court continued its legal maneuvers and appeal to process in order to defend its own blatant injustice, including its ruling in favor of forced sterilization laws. Regarding America's crime against humanity with our forced sterilization of over 60,000 people²⁰, including prisoners, in 1942 the U.S. Supreme Court absurdly ruled in *Skinner v. Oklahoma* that the equal protection clause of the constitution would not be violated as long as forced sterilization of prisoners included white-collar criminals²¹. Pinning hopes for victory on the humility and wisdom of the Supreme Court remains naive.
- **150 Years Out – Planned Parenthood v. Casey:** In the century and a half since their illegitimate *Dred Scott* ruling, their *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey* argues that some decisions need to be overturned because public opinion demands it (ignoring of course, as it does, the basic principles of justice and morality). The court continues, although lightly, to defend its wicked opinion in *Plessy v. Ferguson*, that states can treat people differently based on how much black blood they have. Perhaps the majority thought they were protecting their institution's reputation by pointing out that *Plessy* expressed prevailing public opinion. For as they wrote in 1992, years earlier, "Society's understanding... was... the basis claimed for the decision in 1896." In other words, *Plessy* was not illegitimate because that's the way people thought back then. In *Casey* the Justices actually invite Americans to rise up in a Personhood movement²² to force the court's hand to protect children. The moral of the story, told over a century and a half of Supreme Court rulings that reference its own *Dred Scott*, is that NRTL's strategy based on the Supreme Court recognizing as illegitimate and reversing its own ruling against millions of children is historically and politically uninformed.
- **Anatomy of a Pro-choice NRTL Candidate — Specter:** Arlen Specter is an example of the fruit of NRTL. Pro-lifers around the country have been emailing documentation of NRTL's endorsement of pro-abortion candidates. In one tragic case, pro-lifers gave their hard-earned money and NRTL uses it to elect many politicians, like Arlen Specter, who support the intentional killing of innocent children. In a single year NRTL spent more than \$12,000²³ campaigning for Pennsylvania's Specter. The Scriptures ask, "Can a man take fire to his bosom and... not be burned?"²⁴ NRTL's backfiring political calculations were summed up by this 2008 headline: "Republican Senator Specter Endorses Barack Obama."²⁵ A year later Specter switched party affiliation²⁶ to return to his Democratic roots and give the Democratic party a super majority.²⁷ ²⁸ Jesus said you will know them by their fruit²⁹, and everyone can see the spectre of NRTL's influence. Moral relativism cannot be controlled. When NRTL endorses child-killing politicians, even church leaders get confused and the paramount moral issue is relegated to a second-tier negotiable calculation. Eventually, the confusion that flows from NRTL leads millions of otherwise "pro-life" churchgoers to decide for themselves which "pro-choice" politicians they will support, and for example, 42 percent of "all born again adults" voted for Barack Obama.³⁰ ³¹ ³² ³³ If NRTL can elect pro-aborts out of misguided calculations, then so can the church-going masses. Instead, America needs pro-life organizations that show principled leadership and demonstrate that no person who knows right from wrong should support any candidate who would intentionally kill even a single innocent child. No one but God knows what kind of America we would be enjoying today if "pro-life" leadership in America had committed, above all else, to honor God's enduring command, *Do not murder*.
- **Anatomy of a NRTL State Chapter Candidate — Bart Stupak:** An "exception", which is a euphemism for a child intentionally killed, is a window to the soul. Right To Life of Michigan is a good example of state chapters who, with NRTL's complicity, endorse politicians who promote exceptions, and worse. NRTL's Michigan chapter has long endorsed candidates like Dale Kildee and Bart Stupak. And since "exceptions" are a window to the soul, it was not a surprise to astute observers but an expectation that Bart Stupak would betray children and the pro-life movement by voting for Barack Obama's largely socialist health care reform (which is rather similar to Mitt Romney's health care reform³⁴ by which NRTL friend Romney authorized funding for even late-term abortion on demand). Stupak of course voted for Obama's health care takeover even with its funding of the intentional killing of countless innocent children codified into the law itself.
- **Even in Primary Elections, NRTL Supports Pro-Abortion Candidates:** NRTL has long played both sides and opposed pro-life candidates in favor of pro-abortion candidates "who can win", even when those pro-abortion candidates will very likely lose. Local reports with headlines like "NRTL PAC deals death blow to San Diego Pro-life Movement..."³⁵ endorsing pro-abortion Brian Bilbray and giving National Right To Life Pro-life Profile

him "political cover"³⁶ In one widely-reported example of their political sell-out³⁷, NRTL made it clear that they approve of endorsements even of an outright pro-abortion candidate like Rudy Giuliani, *even during a primary*.

- **NRTL Endorsed Federal Acceptance of Assisted Suicide Laws:** As documented in an interview with Bobby Schindler, NRTL committed perhaps the most immoral act of all during the Terri battle, by introducing the *Incapacitated Persons Legal Protection Act* which would have been the first federal law to legitimize state assisted suicide laws that permitted "the withdrawal of food or fluids" simply with "a written advance directive valid under applicable law."³⁸ If the Hemlock Society had lobbied the federal government to abide by state pro-suicide laws, pro-lifers would have protested. But in the immoral fog of compromised 'pro-life' strategy, NRTL misled "conservatives" like Dennis Prager, Michael Medved, and Hugh Hewitt, to *actually endorse assisted suicide* by calling for "written directives" before starving someone to death.³⁹ A world in which 'pro-life' leaders could so easily switch sides and call for recognition of suicide laws was not a safe world for the vulnerable Terri.

- **NRTL Promotes Politicians Who Kill Millions of Kids:** Wanda Franz and National Right To Life portray officials positively even though by those politicians' *own policies* they have approved of killing millions of children, boys and girls who were made in the triune God's image and likeness (Genesis 1:26). King David unjustly killed "only" one person, and it turned out that single person mattered a lot to God. NRTL doesn't seem to care enough about politicians to warn them, as the New Testament says that, "It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God" (Hebrews 10:31). Intentionally killing "only" one child is an eternally horrific crime. But the widespread belief that politicians like John McCain and George W. Bush oppose 99% of all abortions is disproved by the number of children they have actually approved of killing. See online, ExceptionsCalculator.com, and enter for yourself the abortion policies of these politicians:

NRTL-Approved Politicians:	NRTL Leaders' Casualty Count (not including children killed by laws and court opinions opposed by these politicians)
John McCain	NRTL-endorsed politician who supported killing 15,469,147 children while in the Senate by his own policies and approval
George W. Bush	NRTL favorite who supported killing 3,340,600 children while president by his own policies and approval

- **NRTL Did Not Warn Christians of the Pro-Abortion Policies of John McCain:** By *his own currently held policies*, since 1987 when he took his Senate seat, John McCain has supported killing, by abortifacients and surgical abortion, 15,469,147 unborn children.⁴⁰ Not counting those he would save who were aborted under laws and court opinions that John McCain disagrees with, but *only counting those whom he affirmatively fights for the right to kill*, and those he *refuses to make any effort to protect by law, the total number of actual young boys and girls in America whom Senator John McCain supported killing is more than 15 million*. NRTL did not fulfill its duty to inform Christians of the many pro-abortion policies of John McCain including that: he rejected human life amendments throughout his career; has given hundreds of millions of tax dollars to abortionists; funds research that kills the tiniest boys and girls; promises to appoint pro-choice judges; rejects the right to life of the unborn; funds surgical abortion; would kill an innocent unborn baby for the crime of his father; does not support repeal of *Roe v. Wade* saying it would force women into "illegal" and dangerous abortions; would leave it up to his daughter to decide whether or not to kill his grandchild (that's called pro-choice); and he is admittedly pro-choice state by state. NRTL's misled Christians with articles like, "Senator John McCain Record on Abortion in the US Senate"⁴¹ and "A Closer Look at John McCain"⁴² and thus aided the cover-up of John McCain's tragic pro-abortion record and death toll.

- **NRTL Did Not Warn Christians of the Pro-Abortion Policies of George W. Bush:** NRTL did not educate Christians about president Bush's repeated campaign promise that he would ignore abortion when nominating Supreme Court Justices. Instead, NRTL endorsed Bush and called him "a great pro-life president."⁴³ Yet by *his own policies*, while President, George W. Bush supported killing, by abortifacients and surgical abortion, 3,340,600 unborn children.⁴⁴ Not counting those whom Bush would save who were aborted under laws and court opinions that he disagrees with, but *only counting those whom he affirmatively fights for the right to kill*, and those he *refuses to make any effort to protect by law, the total number of actual young boys and girls in America whom George W. Bush supported killing is more than three million children*. Further, NRTL did not fulfill their duty to inform Christians of the many pro-abortion policies of George W. Bush⁴⁵ including that his judges voted to starve to death Terri Schiavo; that he and his two pro-life predecessors nominated a majority of the federal judiciary which is overwhelmingly pro-choice; that although a consummate compromiser he refused to support South Dakota's 2006 abortion ban; that he kept his promise to not oppose RU-486, the chemical weapon designed to kill unborn children; that he was the first president to actually fund embryonic stem cell research; that he believes not every child should be protected by love and by law; that he supports the very position that started the abortion holocaust, that children of rapists can be killed; that he wanted to nominate pro-abortion Alberto Gonzales to the Supreme Court; that he hurt the Republican party by permitting only pro-abortion prime time convention speakers in 2004; and that he gave hundreds of millions of dollars more to Planned Parenthood than even Bill Clinton ever did. NRTL helped cover-up this horrific George W. Bush abortion legacy.

- **NRTL Has Not Warned Sarah Palin About her Pro-Abortion Actions:** In 2009 Sarah Palin appointed pro-abortion Planned Parenthood board member Morgan Christen to the Alaska Supreme Court and praised the "wisdom and character" of Judge Christen who will be "an outstanding Supreme Court justice." NRTL has not informed Christians about Sarah Palin's shocking abortion record⁴⁶ including that she indicates that chemical abortifacients that kill the youngest children should be legal; that she distinguishes between her "personal" and public pro-life views (*personally* pro-life means *officially* pro-choice) in that she never actually calls for abortion to be made illegal; that she indicates support for public funding to kill some unborn children; that she whitewashes other candidates misleading millions to believe that pro-choice politicians are pro-life; that she allows her name to be used in ads promoting grisly government-funded embryonic stem cell research; that she undermines the God-given right to life by promoting evolution while *officially* opposing creation; that she harms personhood by holding that "equal protection" should not apply to unborn children; that she has never announced support for any state's personhood amendment nor the Federal Human Personhood Amendment; and that she actually opposes personhood by claiming that the majority can decide to legalize the killing of children. When NRTL writes glowingly⁴⁷ of Sarah Palin, while misrepresenting her to

their supporters, NRTL is not meeting its obligation to be truthful to the public and to the Body of Christ. Wanda Franz is also acting carelessly toward Sarah Palin as a human being, as though she does not care about Palin's integrity and her standing before God.

- **NRTL Doubled-Down in the 2008 Election:** NRTL's endorsement⁴⁸ of Fred Thompson made them the only advocacy group in history to support a candidate who uses his national air time to oppose a federal amendment that would give their side victory. A popular longtime pro-life blogger headlined her report, "National Right to Life Endorses Pro-Choice Candidate."⁴⁹ Not only did NRTL not criticize Thompson for repeatedly stating that he was against a human life amendment, they downplayed his role in lobbying for the abortion industry. So NRTL endorsed Thompson who is against a human life amendment (personhood), opposed to helping Terri Schiavo, apparently not against early abortions, and who had lobbied for abortion in the 1990s. And that's in the PRIMARY! Online at ProlifeProfiles.com/nrtl see Thompson's performance on Meet the Press opposing constitutional protection for the unborn child and making it unclear as to whether he thinks the law should ban any abortion whatsoever.

- **NRTL Candidate Mitt Romney Funded Late-Term Abortion On Demand:** The NRTL Committee supported Mitt Romney who single-handedly imposed homosexual marriage on the state of Massachusetts and authorized elective tax-funded abortion through massive government health care "reform." By these two egregious acts, Mitt Romney has done more to destroy the family and the innocent child than has Hillary Clinton.

- **NRTL Did Not Tell Christians About the Pro-Abortion Rulings of Samuel Alito:** by his own rulings, footnoted here, as a federal judge: Samuel Alito forced partial-birth abortion back onto the state of New Jersey after their legislature banned the brutal procedure;⁵⁰ Alito ordered taxpayers to pay \$500,000 to Planned Parenthood's attorneys;⁵¹ Alito voted to keep it easy to get a tax-funded abortion by claiming rape, even when no crime had been reported to police;⁵² and Alito voted in principle against parents bringing a medical malpractice lawsuit for the wrongful death of an unborn child.⁵³ NRTL did not even alert their supporters to Alito's judicial role in China's one-child policy which cruelly outlaws even brothers and sisters and is implemented by the most monstrous crime in the world today: forced abortion. Imagine the horror of government workers taking a pregnant mom by force and killing her baby inside of her own womb. Samuel Alito even helped the brutal regime that forces abortions on unwilling moms and dads by ordering a father to return to China, very possibly to face further persecution, from the very government that killed his eight-month old preborn child.⁵⁴

- **Pruning the Abortion Weed Just Strengthens its Root:** Claims that abortion regulations have reduced abortions are based on a misuse of statistics in flawed Heritage Foundation studies.⁵⁵ Thirty years of evidence also shows that the regulation strategy has failed to move the federal judiciary, which is mostly Republican and overwhelmingly pro-choice, toward the right-to-life position. Every law that regulates the killing of unborn children including waiting periods, offers of anesthesia, and informed consent, etc., backfires for many practical and moral reasons. The "exceptions" and "regulations" that NRTL promotes in the name of political pragmatism kill millions of children. NRTL needs to turn away from being child-killing regulators and start advocating for the God-given right to life of the unborn, for despite intentions, such regulations:⁵⁶

- make abortion seem more acceptable to the public and politicians, and so they
- merely prune the abortion weed and strengthen its root⁵⁷, while even
- on the surface such regulations undermine the very concept of the right to life, and
- call upon our own judges to uphold laws that regulate killing the innocent, and thus
- turn conservative judges increasingly against the personhood of the unborn, and
- help elect pro-aborts⁵⁸ who support regulations to deceive pro-life voters, and they
- are just *variations on Roe v. Wade* which itself regulated abortion, and these laws
- could easily authorize a hundred million abortions after Roe is overturned, for they
- *will keep abortion 'legal'* if abortion is wickedly 'returned to the states,' for they
- reduce the God-given right to life to a second-tier negotiable matter, and they
- confuse many on our own side into defending various 'exceptions' as good, as they
- violate God's enduring command, *Do not murder* by re-authorizing abortion, so they
- "do evil that good may come," ignoring the scriptural warning at Romans 3:8, and
- are like the PBA ban which Dr. Dobson said, "does not save a single human life," and
- can never ever end the murder of unborn children because they
- end with the meaning, "and then you can kill the baby."


- **NRTL Praised the Supreme Court's Brutally Wicked PBA Ruling:** Reading the actual *Gonzales v. Carhart* Supreme Court opinion⁵⁹ is sickening in the way that it is sickening to read Dr. Mengele's⁶⁰ handwritten notes. In addition to explaining legal ways to continue performing partial-birth abortions, the PBA opinion celebrated by Wanda Franz describes methods of "legally" killing the same late-term baby including:

- PBA Ruling: the abortionist can pull "a 'substantial portion' of a still living fetus, say, an arm or leg, into the vagina prior to the death of the fetus." p. 21
- PBA Ruling: "the removal of a small portion [such as "say, an arm or leg"] of the fetus is not prohibited. p. 22
- PBA Ruling: "The fatal overt act must occur after delivery to an anatomical landmark [the belly button]" p. 23

While some are unwilling to look at the ruling itself, increasingly, Christian leaders are condemning the entire PBA ban effort, and others are at least admitting some tragic results of the *Gonzales v. Carhart* opinion:

- Former Alabama Chief Justice Roy Moore publicly apologized for his initial celebration of the U.S. Supreme Court's PBA ruling.⁶¹
- Wanda Franz and NRTL praise the PBA ruling commenting that it took "fourteen years of hard work and an enormous expenditure of money."⁶²
- Ambassador Alan Keyes signed a full-page open letter to Dr. Dobson describing the court's PBA opinion as a "brutally wicked ruling."
- After the open letter, Focus on the Family wrote, "Ending partial-birth abortion... does not save a single human life." -Dr. James Dobson
- At the *Forty Years in the Wilderness* event⁶³, Dr. Alan Keyes said about the PBA *Gonzales v. Carhart* opinion:

The Supreme Court's ruling on the PBA "ban" actually permits a textbook partial-birth abortion if the mother is "over dilated," and otherwise it calls only for a four-inch variation with the baby delivered to the navel, rather than all the way to the neck, after which the National Right To Life Pro-life Profile



abortionist can then kill him.⁶⁴ ⁶⁵ The justices also list other ways the abortionist can kill the same late-term child and they suggest that these other methods may indeed be more violent (and thus more painful to the fetus)⁶⁶ than PBA itself, but they justify this additional pain to the "fetus" in that the ban improves the public perception of the abortion industry.⁶⁷

- **Give the Fetus Anesthesia, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:** NRTL supports Fetal Pain legislation⁶⁸ even though giving pain killers to the fetus would actually encourage some mothers *to go ahead and get an abortion* by convincing them that their baby will not feel pain. And worse, the *Unborn Child Pain Awareness Act*, which Wanda Franz supports, effectively ends with: "and then you can kill the baby." The Rocky Mountain News reported⁶⁹ on Denver radio⁷⁰ between American Right To Life and a Nat'l RTL board member on fetal pain. ARTL readily admitted that such a bill of course might convince some mothers to not abort their children (as they consider the fact that babies feel pain), whereas the Wyoming board member of National RTL refused to similarly admit the downside, that offering anesthesia to the fetus might also soothe the conscience of many other women who then decide to go ahead and abort their children, since now, their baby won't suffer. Further, because the bill endorsed by NRTL only addresses killing babies who are 20 weeks and older, it makes an enormous concession to materialists by falsely⁷¹ implying that a baby cannot feel pain until the second trimester. Of course NRTL would never have supported a National Socialist law that stated a Jewish child could be killed only after first being offered anesthesia. Thus their legislative strategy is evidence that abortion so permeates society that even Christian leaders have a hard time remembering that we are talking about killing children.

- **Get Parental Involvement, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:** National RTL supports⁷² these counterproductive child-killing regulations which, regardless of good intentions, declare that if the parents are notified, or consent, to kill their grandchild, then the abortionist can kill their daughter's child. This regulation terribly backfires. Crimes and sins (not all sins are crimes, but all true crimes are sins) become more egregious, not less, the more deliberate they are and the greater the number of people who conspire. This effect intensifies with the magnitude of the crime. Affirming that an abortionist may dismember a baby because the family has agreed to it is not a godly strategy that a Christian organization should pursue. Secular nonprofit organizations show a similar lack of wisdom that produces unintended consequences as when Mothers Against Drunk Driving further entrench and even institutionalize public drunkenness and youth binge drinking by their misguided designated driver program. "If you college kids plan on getting drunk tonight... make sure you have a designated driver." Similarly the Motion Picture Association of America further erodes morals with their "R" rating which itself works better than most advertisements by creating desire in boys to see R-rated movies, and worse, the MPAA's requirement that a 14-year-old girl watch R-rated sex scenes only if her dad watches with her, is far, far worse for her than if she watched it alone. Abortion regulations likewise confuse what should always be addressed by Christians as a black and white issue: the murder of a child. In fact pro-life regulations present abortion as a right.⁷³ Finally, with parental consent laws, the "judicial bypass" clause which the U.S. Supreme Court "requires"⁷⁴ ⁷⁵ and which NRTL therefore supports⁷⁶, is cruel to even "conservative" judges who are then looked upon to authorize the killing of specific individual children, as NRTL's favorite Priscilla Owen did when as a Texas Supreme Court Justice this "pro-life" woman voted to kill Baby Ten, child of Jane Doe 10.⁷⁷ In a dissenting opinion, Judge Breyer acknowledges judicial bypass procedures have "overwhelming approval rates" and "serve no significant screening function." He also lists seven points from a judicial bypass study, cited in the ruling opinion, that show out of 1,571 applications for judicial bypass in Massachusetts between 1981 and 1983 only 2 were denied.⁷⁸ What has NRTL learned from history? Judge Franz Schlegelberger, highest ranking German official tried at the Nuremberg "Justice Trial" offered the defense that he hoped to minimize the mass murder of Jews, and that he was merely following the process when he ordered the execution⁷⁹ of the Jewish man Markus Luftgas for hoarding eggs.⁸⁰ How far America has fallen since then, for only a few decades ago not only our secular judges, and the public, but even Hollywood, knew right from wrong, that a judge who orders the killing of an innocent person commits a grave injustice. Consider this brief clip (which will start three minutes in), with Burt Lancaster as the German and Spencer Tracy as the American judge in Hollywood's *Justice at Nuremberg*:

NRTL behaves toward Priscilla Owen as though she has no eternal soul. They present in a positive light that Owen signed the execution order for Baby Ten, which they call "the mark of a restrained... judge"⁸¹. The media reported that Justice Owen only voted once to abort a child, but NRTL's research proved otherwise. In a textbook case of calling evil good, and good evil (Isaiah 5:²⁰), NRTL actually brags that the media is "simply wrong that this was the only case in which Justice Owen voted to facilitate bypass (i.e., to kill the child)," for she "facilitate[d] bypass in three cases"! Great. She didn't kill one child, as the media claimed. She's better than that. She killed three. She's not an extremist, for like Schlegelberger, she'll follow the rules even when she finds them distasteful. Luftgas had hoarded eggs. What hubris. And Priscilla Owen likewise, will have no justification before God on judgment day for killing Baby Ten. Warn her Wanda. Promoting child-killing regulations is destroying the morality of the Body of Christ and making it difficult for Christian leaders even to think in terms of right and wrong.

- **Show Mom an Ultrasound, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:** NRTL supported⁸² Senator Sam Brownback's well-intentioned *Ultrasound Informed Consent* bill⁸³ which backfires, as do all abortion regulations. Activists hope that with such regulations women will decide against killing their child after seeing an ultrasound of their baby, very possibly sucking his thumb or playing with her toes, as first-trimester unborn children tend to do. But among conservative, otherwise "pro-life" judges there is a judicial crisis⁸⁴. Thirty years of requests from NRTL for these judges to uphold such child killing regulations have convinced thousands of potentially good judges that the government actually does have the right to regulate the killing of innocent children. In fact, *Roe v. Wade* itself, which was passed by a Republican majority on the Supreme Court, was really just another abortion regulation, as 19 states had already done to varying degrees, starting in 1966, and *Roe* does not even recognize any absolute right to abortion, but wrongly claims the same authority that the pro-life industry claims, the supposed right to regulate child killing. As Republican Justice Harry Blackmun wrote in *Roe* itself, some argue "that the woman's right is absolute and that she is entitled to terminate her pregnancy at whatever time, in whatever way, and for whatever reason she alone chooses. With this we do not agree." Thus NRTL's success in passing regulations has backfired and made it virtually impossible even for America's "conservative" judges to acknowledge the God-given right to life of the unborn.

- **Mention Abortion as a Risk for Breast Cancer, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:** After years of pro-life organizations publicizing the abortion/breast cancer link⁸⁵ and even meeting with the Komen Race for the Cure officials⁸⁶, finally in 2009 the primary National Cancer Institute researcher who denied abortion as a breast cancer risk, Louise A. Brinton, co-authored a study which describes a genuine causal effect of oral contraceptives on Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC, an especially aggressive and treatment-resistant cancer) and National Right To Life Pro-life Profile

further, the study describes significant breast cancer risk factors including "induced abortion"^{87 88!} Christians must publicize this vital information, but not by relegating a baby's right to life to a second-tier negotiable matter. It would be unthinkable to advocate a National Socialist law that required a biology lesson before forcing children to inhale Zyklon B. Yet Wanda Franz advocates⁸⁹ similar laws where unborn children are the victims.

- **Tell Mom about the Morning-After Pill, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:**⁹⁰ Opposing this and all kinds of child killing regulations, pro-life hero Bob Dornan said on a 50,000-watt Denver radio station: "For the rest of my life, I'm indebted to [American RTL]. I got your documentary, *Focus on the Strategy II*. You gave me seven simple words, and I will never look at another piece of pro-life legislation without saying those words. It's a bad law if it concludes, 'and then you can kill the baby.'" Hear this 20-second comment⁹¹:

[[download](#)]

NRTL says that telling women about Plan B enables them to "make informed decisions"⁹² and so NRTL advocates yet another child killing regulation which again implies a "right" to kill a child.

- **Give Informed Consent, And Then You Can Kill The Baby:** Child killing regulations backfire in the worst of ways, including that if *Roe v. Wade* is ever merely overturned, the regulations, including NRTL's Informed Consent laws, will keep abortion legal. Notre Dame Law School's professor emeritus [Charles Rice](#) stated on Mark Crutcher's Life Talk TV program that, "the pro-life movement... made a tragic error... in 1980 and '81 toward seeking a states' rights solution, actually promoting exceptions, and so on. What they're then saying is that an innocent human being can be legally executed, whenever a legislature so desires... and that makes that innocent human being a non-person." Denver attorney John Archibold, founding board member of National RTL, Americans United for Life, and of the nation's first right to life group, Colorado Right To Life, said that Dr. Rice's statement above is, "absolutely correct. Because these two venerable organizations, Nat'l RTL and AUL, on whose boards I served, because they adopted this so-called incremental strategy, which is not only immoral, but strategically wrong, I resigned from both of them."⁹³

"Pro-Life" Informed Consent Law: Indiana Code: Title 16: Chapter 2. Requirements for Performance of Abortion... 16-34-2-1 Required circumstances of legal abortion Sec. 1. **Abortion shall in all instances be a criminal act, except when performed under the following circumstances:** ... the woman submitting to the abortion has filed her consent with her physician.⁹⁴ (Note: this law will keep abortion legal in Indiana if *Roe* is merely overturned.)

NRTL has never even acknowledged to their contributors that if *Roe v. Wade* is ever simply overturned (with abortion immorally⁹⁵ "sent back to the states" which is NRTL's strategy since they don't support the personhood movement), that Informed Consent and many of *NRTL's own laws*⁹⁶ will keep abortion legal. Apart from the personhood movement^{97 98} which NRTL opposes, if *Roe* is simply overturned, *Planned Parenthood* will fight to keep *NRTL's laws on the books* because NRTL's "pro-life" regulations will become the nails that will keep open the abortion clinic doors as abortionists kill millions of babies by the re-authorization for abortion in NRTL's misguided legislation (that implied "right" to abortion that NRTL admitted to⁹⁹). Apart from the personhood movement, NRTL would then have to go to the public, the media, and governing officials, and argue that they must repeal their own laws, which are keeping abortion as legal as *Roe v. Wade* did, for they are merely variations of *Roe*, which itself regulated abortion.



- **NRTL has Not Endorsed any of the 40 State Personhood Efforts:** Unlike [Tier 1](#) pro-life leaders and organizations and thousands of pro-family activists around the country, NRTL has never endorsed any of the many^{100 101} state-level amendment and legislative efforts to move the government to recognize the unborn child's right to life.

- **NRTL has Not Endorsed the Federal Personhood Amendment:** Unlike Ronald Reagan who as president offered to support a federal human life amendment, NRTL and Wanda Franz have not endorsed today's version of that effort: American Life League's Federal Human Personhood Amendment.¹⁰² Reagan publicly regretted his previous pro-abortion actions.¹⁰³ NRTL should exhibit the former U.S. President's willingness to acknowledge error. Pro-lifers put themselves into four tiers based upon what they advocate for: personhood now, personhood whenever, personhood later, and personhood never. If Wright and NRTL want to raise their ranking from Tier 3, all they need to do is ask.¹⁰⁴ NRTL can [contact](#) the research team at ProlifeProfiles and let them know which Tier describes their commitment to the God-given right to life of the unborn. ProlifeProfiles will take Wanda Franz at her word.

- **NRTL supports pro-life violence:** Abortionist George Tiller was murdered in 2009 by a pro-life radical (see [AmericanRTL.org's](#) powerful and needed *Abortion Vigilantism Worksheet*).¹⁰⁵ When *National Right to Life* says, "We oppose violence in the name of the pro-life movement" they're lying because they support people like Mitt Romney. They will support someone who says he's pro-life but advocates keeping legal the killing of any number of children, supposedly for the goal of a pro-life victory. That *is* supporting pro-life violence. And so Christians need to be consistent and be against both the vigilante and the politician who says, "Well, I only want to kill 30,000 kids a year. That's it. That's my limit."

- **Conclusion:** Everyone who knows that a child has a God-given right to life should pray for the fallen National Right To Life. And for details of the \$100 reward program to find a substantive error in this NRTL report, please see [ProlifeProfiles.com](#).

